

## Scientific Papers and Publications Featuring Medical Metrics® Technology and Methods for Spine Clinical Trials and Research Studies Involving Medical Imaging

### Peer-reviewed Journal Papers, Book Chapters and Industry Guidance Documents

1. Healy Quality Ontario. Cervical Artificial Disc Replacement Versus Fusion for Cervical Degenerative Disc Disease: A Health Technology Assessment. *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser* 2019; 19(3):1-223.
2. Bassani T, Sconfienza LM and Galbusera F. In Vivo Studies: Spinal Imaging, in Biomechanics of the Spine: Basic Concepts, Spine Disorders and Treatments. eds Galbusera F and Wilke H-J. Elsevier Academic Press, London, 2018.
3. Schmidt S, Franke J, Rauschmann M, Adelt D, Bosanto M, Sola S. Prospective, randomized, multicenter study with 2-year follow-up to compare the performance of decompression with and without interlaminar stabilization. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2018:1-10.
4. Simon RB, Dowe C, Grinberg S, Cammisa FP, Abjornson C. The 2-Level Experience of Interlaminar Stabilization: 5-Year Follow-Up of a Prospective, Randomized, Clinical Experience Compared to Fusion for the Sustainable Management of Spine Stenosis. *Int J Spine Surg* 2018; 12(4):419-427.
5. Coric D, Guyer RD, Nunley PD, Musante D, Carmody C, Gordon C, Laurysen C, Boltes MO, Ohnmeiss DD. Prospective, Randomized, Multi-center Study of Cervical Arthroplasty versus Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: 5-Year Results with a Metal-on-Metal Artificial Disc. *J Neurosurgery Spine* 2018; 28:252-261.
6. Vaccaro A, Beutler W, Peppelman W, Marzluff J, Mugglin A, Rmakrishnan PS, Myer J, Baker K. Long-Term Clinical Experience with Selectively Constrained SECURE-C Cervical Artificial Disc for 1-Level Cervical Disc Disease: Results from Seven-Year Follow-Up of a Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Investigational Device Exemption Clinical Trial. *Int J Spine Surg* 2018; 12(3):377-387.
7. Robbins S, Laurysen C, Songer M. Use of Nanocrystalline Hydroxyapatite with Autologous BMA and Local Bone in the Lumbar Spine. A Retrospective Analysis of Posterolateral Fusion Results. *Clin Spine Surg* 2017; 30:E192-197.
8. Peppers TA, Bullard DE, Vanichkachorn JS, et al. Prospective clinical and radiographic evaluation of an allogeneic bone matrix containing stem cells (Trinity Evolution® Viable Cellular Bone Matrix) in patients undergoing two-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research* 2017; 12(1):67.
9. Arts MP, Wolfs JF, Corbin TP. Porous silicon nitride spacers versus PEEK cages for anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: clinical and radiological results of a single-blinded randomized controlled trial. *European Spine Journal* 2017:1-8.
10. Coathup MJ, Blunn GW, Campion C, Ho CY, Hing KA. The effect of increased microporosity on bone formation within silicate-substituted scaffolds in an ovine posterolateral spinal fusion model. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials* 2017; 105(4):805-814.
11. Parker SL, Grahovac G, Vukas D, et al. Effect of an Annular Closure Device (Barricaid) on Same-Level Recurrent Disk Herniation and Disk Height Loss After Primary Lumbar Discectomy: Two-year Results of a Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study. *Clinical Spine Surgery* 2016; 29:454-460.
12. Hosseini P, Nnadi C, Reháč L, et al. Analysis of Segmental Mobility Following a Novel Posterior Apical Short-Segment Correction for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. *Spine* 2016; 41:E1223-E1229.
13. Bae HW, Davis RJ, Laurysen C, Leary S, Maislin G, Musacchio Jr MJ. Three-year follow-up of the prospective, randomized, controlled trial of coflex interlaminar stabilization vs instrumented fusion in patients with lumbar stenosis. *Neurosurgery* 2016; 79(2):169-181.
14. Pekmezci M, Tang JA, Cheng L, et al. Comparison of expandable and fixed interbody cages in a human cadaver corpectomy model: fatigue characteristics. *Clinical Spine Surgery* 2016; 29:387-393.
15. Patwardhan AG, Caradang G, Voronov LI, Havey RM, Paul GA, Laurysen C, Coric D, Dimming T, Musante D. Are Collapsed Cervical Discs Amenable to Total Disc Arthroplasty: Analysis of Prospective Clinical Data with 2-Year Follow-Up. *Spine* 2016; 41(24):1866-75.

16. Arnold PM, Sasso RC, Janssen ME, Fehlings MG, Smucker JD, Vaccaro AR, Heary RF, Patel AI, Goulet B, Kalfas IH, Kopjar B. Efficacy of I-Factor Bone Graft versus Autograft in Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: Results of the Prospective, Randomized, Single-blinded Food and Drug Administration Investigational Device Exemption Study. *Spine* 2016; 41(13):1075-83.
17. Musacchio MJ, Laurysen C, Davis RJ, Bae HW, Pelosa JH, Guyer RD, Zigler JE, Ohnmeiss DD, Leary S. Evaluation of Decompression and Interlaminar Stabilization Compared with Decompression and Fusion for the Treatment of Lumbar Spinal Stenosis: 5-year Follow-up of a Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Int J Spine Surg* 10: 2016.
18. Zigler JE, Rogers RW, Ohnmeiss DD. Comparison of 1-Level Versus 2-Level Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: Clinical and Radiographic Follow-Up at 60 Months. *Spine* 2016; 41:463-9.
19. Yucesoy K, Yuksel K, Yuksel M, Kalemci O, Altun I. Changes in Sagittal Alignment after Cervical Disc Arthroplasty: Results of a Pilot Study. *J Spine* 2016; 5(1).
20. Guyer RD, Pettine K, Roh JS, Dimmig TA, Coric D, McAfee PC, et al. Five-year follow-up of a prospective, randomized trial comparing two lumbar total disc replacements. *Spine* 2016; 41(1):3-8.
21. Vanichkachorn J, Peppers T, Bullard D, Stanley SK, Linovitz RJ, Ryaby JT. A prospective clinical and radiographic 12-month outcome study of patients undergoing single-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion for symptomatic cervical degenerative disc disease utilizing a novel viable allogeneic, cancellous, bone matrix (Trinity Evolution™) with a comparison to historical controls. *European Spine Journal* 2016; 25:2233-8.
22. Barth M, Fontana J, Thomé C, Bouma GJ, Schmieder K. Occurrence of discal and non-discal changes after sequestrectomy alone versus sequestrectomy and implantation of an anulus closure device. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience* 2016.
23. Garcia R, Yue JJ, Blumenthal SL, Coric D, Patel VV. Lumbar Total Disc Replacement for Discogenic Low Back Pain: Primary Powered Endpoint Outcomes of the ActivL® IDE Clinical Trial. *The Spine Journal* 2016; 16(10):S260.
24. Nunley PD, Kerr EJ, Cavanaugh DA, Utter A, Frank K, Stone M. Seven-Year Radiographic Adjacent Segment Pathology after Treatment with TDR or ACDF at One or Two Levels. *The Spine Journal* 2016; 16(10):S283.
25. Meisel H-J, Jurák L, Antinheimo J, Arregui R, Bruchmann B, Čabraja M, et al. Four-year results of a prospective single-arm study on 200 semi-constrained total cervical disc prostheses: clinical and radiographic outcome. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine* 2016:1-10.
26. Zhao KD, Ben-Abraham EI, Magnuson DJ, Camp JJ, Berglund LJ, An K-N, et al. Effect of Off-Axis Fluoroscopy Imaging on Two-Dimensional Kinematics in the Lumbar Spine: A Dynamic In Vitro Validation Study. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* 2016; 138(5):054502.
27. Hisey MS, Zigler JE, Jackson R, Nunley PD, Bae HW, Kim KD, et al. Prospective, Randomized Comparison of One-level Mobi-C Cervical Total Disc Replacement vs. Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: Results at 5-year Follow-up. *International journal of spine surgery*. 2016; 10.
28. Isaacs RE, Sembrano JN, Tohmeh AG. Two-Year Comparative Outcomes of MIS Lateral and MIS Transforaminal Interbody Fusion in the Treatment of Degenerative Spondylolisthesis. *Spine*. 2016; 41(1):S133-S44.
29. Becker S, Hadjipaviou AG, Heggeness MH. Ablation of the basivertebral nerve for treatment of back pain: a clinical study. *The Spine Journal* 2016;17(2):218-223.
30. Hipp JA, Guyer RD, Zigler JE, Ohnmeiss DD, Wharton ND. Development of a novel radiographic measure of lumbar instability and validation using the facet fluid sign. *Int J Spine Surg - Biomechanics Special Issue* 2015.
31. Phillips FM, Geisler FH, Gilder KM, Reah C, Howell KM, McAfee PC. Long-term outcomes of the US FDA IDE prospective, randomized controlled clinical trial comparing PCM cervical disc arthroplasty with anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Spine* 2015; 40(10):674-83.
32. Reichel LM, Hipp J, Fuentes A, Reitman CA. Quantitative Analysis Of Cervical Flexion-Extension Radiographs In Rheumatoid Arthritis. *J Spinal Disorders Tech* 2015; 28:E478-E481.
33. Weiner BK, Vilendecic M, Ledic D, Eustacchio S, Hipp JA. Endplate changes following discectomy: natural

- history and associations between imaging and clinical data. *Eur Spine J* 2015; 24:2449-2457.
34. Rhee JM, Chapman JR, Norvell DC, Smith J, Sherry NA, Riew KD. Radiologic Determination of Post-operative Cervical Fusion: A Systematic Review. *Spine* 2015; 40:974-992.
  35. Staub BN, Holman PJ, Reitman CA, Hipp JA. Sagittal plane lumbar intervertebral motion during seated flexion-extension in 658 asymptomatic, non-degenerated levels. *J Neurosurgery Spine* 2015; 23(6):731-8.
  36. Garcia Jr R, Yue JJ, Blumenthal S, Coric D, Patel VV, Leary SP, et al. Lumbar total disc replacement for discogenic low back pain: two-year outcomes of the activL multicenter randomized controlled IDE clinical trial. *Spine*. 2015; 40(24):1873-81.
  37. Ledic D, Vukas D, Grahovac G, Barth M, Bouma GJ, Vilendecic M. Effect of Anular Closure on Disk Height Maintenance and Reoperated Recurrent Herniation Following Lumbar Discectomy: Two-Year Data. *Journal of Neurological Surgery Part A: Central European Neurosurgery* 2015; 76(03):211-8.
  38. Wang T, Fielding LC, Parikh A, Kothari M, Alamin T. Sacral spinous processes: a morphologic classification and biomechanical characterization of strength. *The Spine Journal* 2015; 15(12):2544-51.
  39. Bae HW, Laurysen C, Maislin G, Leary S, Musacchio Jr MJ. Therapeutic sustainability and durability of coflex interlaminar stabilization after decompression for lumbar spinal stenosis: a four year assessment. *International Journal of Spine Surgery* 2015; 9.
  40. Sardar Z, Alexander D, Oxner W, Plessis Sd, Yee A, Wai EK, et al. Twelve-month results of a multicenter, blinded, pilot study of a novel peptide (B2A) in promoting lumbar spine fusion. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine* 2015;22(4):358-66.
  41. Schätz C, Ritter-Lang K, Gössel L, Dreßler N. Comparison of Single-Level and Multiple-Level Outcomes of Total Disc Arthroplasty: 24-Month Results. *International Journal of Spine Surgery* 2015; 9.
  42. Bae HW, Kim KD, Nunley PD, Jackson RJ, Hisey MS, Davis RJ, Hoffman GA, Gaede SE, Danielson III GO, Peterson DL. Comparison of Clinical Outcomes of One and Two-level Total Disc Replacement: 4-year Results from a Prospective, Randomized, Controlled, Multicenter IDE Clinical Trial. *Spine* 2015; 40:759-766.
  43. Rihn JA, Radcliff KE, Hipp JA, Vaccaro AR, Hilibrand AS, Anderson DG, Albert TJ. Radiographic Variables that may Predict Clinical Outcomes in Cervical Disc Replacement Surgery. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2015; 28(3):106-113
  44. Koller H. Biomechanica Quirurgica de la Columna Cervical (Chapter 6), in Cirugía de la Columna Cervical. eds Gonzalez Diaz and Koller H. Editorial Medica Panamericana, Mexico, 2015.
  45. Patel VV, Whang PG, Haley TR, Bradley WD, Nunley PD, Davis RP, et al. Superior interspinous process spacer for intermittent neurogenic claudication secondary to moderate lumbar spinal stenosis: two-year results from a randomized controlled FDA-IDE pivotal trial. *Spine* 2015; 40(5):275-82.
  46. Gibson JNA, Depreitere B, Pflugmacher R, Schnarke KJ, Fielding LC, Alamin TF, Goffin J. Decompression and paraspinous tension band: a novel treatment method for patients with lumbar spinal stenosis and degenerative spondylolisthesis. *The Spine J* 2015; 15(Suppl 3):23S-32S.
  47. Cao F, Liu T, Xu Y, Han H, Dong R, Feng S. Comparison of Clinical and Radiographic Changes after Bryan Disc Arthroplasty versus ACDF: A 60-Month Follow-Up on 120 Patients. *World J Neuroscience* 2015; 40-48
  48. Davis RJ, Nunley PD, Kim KD, Hisey MS, Jackson RJ, Bae HW, Hoffman GA, Gaede SE, Danielson III GO, Gordon C. Two-level total disc replacement with Mobi-C cervical artificial disc versus anterior discectomy and fusion: a prospective, randomized, controlled multicenter clinical trial with 4-year follow-up results. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine* 2015; 22(1):15-25.
  49. Hisey MS, Bae HW, Davis R, Gaede S, Hoffman G, Kim K, Nunley PD, Peterson D, Rashbaum RF, Stokes J. Prospective, Randomized Comparison of Cervical Total Disc Replacement vs. Anterior Cervical Fusion: Results at 48 Months Follow-up. *J Spinal Disorders & Tech* 2015; 28(4):E237-243.
  50. Derrick SM, Raxter MH, Hipp JA, Goel P, Chan EF, Love JC, Wiersema JM, Akella NS. Development of a Computer-Assisted Forensic Radiographic Identification Method Using the Lateral Cervical and Lumbar Spine. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 2015; 60(1):5-12.
  51. Anekstein Y, Floman Y, Smorgick Y, Rand N, Millgram M, Mirovsky Y. Seven years follow-up for total lumbar facet joint replacement (TOPS) in the management of lumbar spinal stenosis and degenerative spondylolisthesis.

*European Spine Journal* 2015:1-9.

52. Eastlack RK, Garfin SR, Brown CR, Meyer C. Osteocel® Plus Cellular Allograft in Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion (ACDF). Evaluation of Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes from a Prospective Multi-center Study. *Spine* 2014; 39(22):E1331-E1337.
53. Gornet MF, Schranck F, Wharton ND, Beall DP, Jones E, Myers ME, Hipp JA. Optimizing Success with Lumbar Disc Arthroplasty. *Eur Spine J* 2014; 23:2127-2135.
54. Suchomel P, Jurak L, Antinheimo J, Pohjola J, Stulik J, Meisel HJ, Cabraja M, Woiciechowsky C, Bruchmann B, Shackelford I, Arregui R, Sola S. Does sagittal position of the CTDR-related centre of rotation influence functional outcome? *Eur Spine J* 2014; 23:1124-1134.
55. Hisey MS, Bae HW, Davis R, Gaede S, Hoffman G, Kim K, Nunley PD, Peterson D, Rashbaum R, Stokes J. Multi-center, Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Investigational Device Exemption Clinical Trial Comparing Mobi-C Cervical Artificial Disc to Anterior Discectomy and Fusion in the Treatment of Symptomatic Degenerative Disc Disease in the Cervical Spine. *Int J Spine Surg* 2014; 8(7).
56. Guyer RD, Pettine K, Roh JS, Dimmig TA, Coric D, McAfee PC, Ohnmeis DO. Comparison of 2 Lumbar Total Disc Replacements. *Spine* 2014; 39(12):925-931.
57. Hou Y, Liu Y, Yuan W, Wang X, Chen H, Yang L, Zhang Y. Cervical kinematics and radiological changes after Discover artificial disc replacement versus fusion. *The Spine Journal* 2014; 14(6):867-877.
58. Davis R, Auerbach JD, Bae H, Errico TJ. Can low-grade spondylolisthesis be effectively treated by either coflex interlaminar stabilization or laminectomy and posterior spinal fusion? Two-year clinical and radiographic results from the randomized, prospective, multicenter US investigational device exemption trial. *J Neurosurgery Spine* 2013; 19(2):174-184.
59. Vaccaro A, Beutler W, Peppelman W, Marzluff J, Highsmith J, Mugglin A, DeMuth G, Gudipally M, Baker K. Clinical outcomes with selectively constrained SECURE-C cervical disc arthroplasty. *Spine* 2013; 38(26):2227-2239.
60. Kowalczyk I, Chaudhary N, Duggal N. Kinematic analysis following implantation of the PRESTIGE LP. *The International Journal of Spine Surgery* 2013; 7(1):e118-e122.
61. Koller H, Meier O, Zenner J, Mayer M, Hitzl W. In vivo analysis of cervical kinematics after implantation of a minimally constrained cervical artificial disc replacement. *Eur Spine J* 2013; 22(4):747-758.
62. Mayer M, Zenner J, Auffarth A, Blocher M, Figl M, Resch H, Koller H. Hidden discoligamentous instability in cervical spine injuries: can quantitative motion analysis improve detection? *Eur Spine J* 2013; 22(10):2219-27.
63. Davis RJ, Errico TJ, Bae H, Auerbach JD. Decompression and coflex® interlaminar stabilization compared to decompression and instrumented spinal fusion for spinal stenosis and low-grade degenerative spondylolisthesis. Two-year results from the prospective, randomized, multicenter Food and Drug Administration Investigational Device Exemption Trial. *Spine* 2013; 38(18):1529-1539.
64. Bouma GJ, Barth M, Ledic D, Vilendecic M. The high-risk discectomy patient: prevention of reherniation in patients with large annular defects using an annular closure device. *Eur Spine J* 2013; 22(5):1030-1036.
65. Yue J, Zhang K, Bai HX, Du J, Cammisa F, Abjornson C, Mo F. A Comparison of Patients Who Have Undergone 1-Level Versus 2-Level Prodisc Arthroplasty: A Prospective Study With Minimum of 5-Year Follow-up. *Spine* 2013; 38(14):1194-1198.
66. Parker SL, Grahovac G, Vukas D, Vilendecic M, Ledic D, McGirt MJ, Carragee EJ. Effect of an annular closure device (Barricaid) on same level recurrent disc herniation and disc height loss after primary discectomy: Two-year results of a multi-center prospective cohort study. *J Spine Disord Tech* 2013.
67. Trummer M, Eustacchio S, Barth M, Klassen PD, Stein S. Protecting facet joints post-lumbar discectomy: Barricaid annular closure device reduces risk of facet degeneration. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*, 2013: 1440-1445.
68. Lador R, Liberman S, Ben-Galim P, Dreiangel N, Reitman CA, Hipp JA. A cadaver study to compare vertebral augmentation with a high-viscosity cement to augmentation with conventional low-viscosity cement. *J Spinal*

- Disord Tech* 2013; 26(2):68-73.
69. Bastian L, Schils F, Tillman J, Fueredi G: A randomized trial comparing 2 techniques of balloon kyphoplasty and curette use for obtaining vertebral body height restoration and angular-deformity correction in vertebral compression fractures due to osteoporosis. *Am J of Neuroradiology* 2013; 34(3):666-675.
  70. Ryu WHA, Kowalczyk I, Duggal N. Long-term kinematic analysis of cervical spine after single-level implantation of Bryan cervical disc prosthesis. *The Spine Journal* 2013; 13(6):628-634.
  71. Phillips FM, Lee JY, Geisler FH, Cappuccino A, Chaput CD, DeVine JG, Reah C, Gilder KM, Howell KM, McAfee PC. A prospective, randomized, controlled clinical investigation comparing PCM cervical disc arthroplasty with anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: 2-year results from the US FDA IDE clinical trial. *Spine* 2013; 38(15):E907-E918.
  72. Zigler JE, Delamarter R, Murrey D, Spivak J, Janssen M. ProDisc-C and Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion as Surgical Treatment for Single-Level Cervical Symptomatic Degenerative Disc Disease. Five-Year Results of a Food and Drug Administration Study. *Spine* 2013; 38(3):203-209.
  73. Dickinson LD, Phelps J, Summa CD, Vanichkachorn JS, Jeshuran WR, Randall JB, Mimran RI, Mitchell M, Macenski MM, Laurysen C. Facet-sparing Decompression With a Minimally Invasive Flexible Microblade Shaver: A Prospective Operative Analysis. *Journal of Spinal Disorders & Techniques* 2013, 26(8):427-436.
  74. Ritter Lang K, Dreßler N, Schatz C, Gossel L. Treatment of Lumbar Degenerative Disc Disease Using a Novel, Compressible Core Prosthesis: 24-Month Results. *Journal of Spine* 2013.
  75. Zigler JE, Jamieson G, Delamarter RB. Five-year adjacent-level degenerative changes in patients with single-level disease treated using lumbar total disc replacement with ProDisc-L versus circumferential fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2012; 17:504-511.
  76. Bastian L, Schils F, Tillman JB, Fueredi G. A Randomized Trial Comparing 2 Techniques of Balloon Kyphoplasty and Curette Use for Obtaining Vertebral Body Height Restoration and Angular-Deformity Correction in Vertebral Compression Fractures due to Osteoporosis. *Am J Neuroradiol* 2012; 34(3):666-675.
  77. Laurysen C, Berven S, Mimran R, Summa C, Sheinberg M, Miller L, Block J. Facet-sparing lumbar decompression with a minimally invasive flexible MicroBlade Shaver® versus traditional decompression: quantitative radiographic assessment. *Clinical Interventions in Aging* 2012; 7:257-266.
  78. Selby MD, Clark SR, Hall DJ, Freeman BJC. Radiologic Assessment of Spinal Fusion. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2012; 20:694-703.
  79. Patwardhan AG, Tzermiadianos MN, Tsitsopoulos PP, Voronov LI, Renner SM, Reo ML, Carandang G, Ritter-Lang K, Havey RM. Primary and coupled motions after cervical total disc replacement using a compressible six-degree-of-freedom prosthesis. *Eur Spine J* 2012; (Suppl. 5):S618-S629.
  80. Laurysen CL, Coric D, Dimmig T, Musante D, Ohnmeiss DD, Stubbs HA. Cervical total disc replacement using a novel compressible prosthesis: Results from a prospective Food and Drug Administration-regulated feasibility study with 24-month follow-up. *Int J Spine Surg* 2012:e1-e7.
  81. Tsitsopoulos PP, Wojewnik B, Voronov LI, Havey RM, Renner SM, Zelenakova J, McIntosh B, Carandang G, Abjornson C, Patwardhan AG: Effect of prosthesis endplate lordosis angles on L5-S1 kinematics after disc arthroplasty. *European Spine Journal* 2012; 21(Suppl. 5):S585-591.
  82. Patwardhan AG, Havey RM, Wharton ND, Tsitsopoulos PP, Newman P, Carandang G, et al. Asymmetric Motion Distribution Between Components of a Mobile-Core Lumbar Disc Prosthesis: An Explanation of Unequal Wear Distribution in Implanted CHARITÉ Polyethylene Cores. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2012; 94:846-854.
  83. Zigler JE, Delamarter RB. Five-year results of the prospective, randomized, multicenter, Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of the ProDisc-L total disc replacement versus circumferential arthrodesis for the treatment of single-level degenerative disc disease. *Journal of Neurosurgery Spine* 2012; 17(6):493-501.
  84. Zigler JE: Five-Year Results of the ProDisc-L Multicenter, Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Trial Comparing ProDisc-L with Circumferential Spinal Fusion for Single-Level Disabling Degenerative Disk Disease. *Seminars in Spine Surgery* 2012; 24:25-31.

85. Auerbach JD, Anakwenze OA, Milby AH, Lonner BS, Balderston RA. Segmental contribution towards total cervical range of motion: A comparison of cervical disc arthroplasty to fusion. *Spine* 2011; 36(25):E1593-1599.
86. Duggal N, Bertagnoli R, Rabin D, Wharton N, Kowalczyk I. ProDisc-C. An in vivo kinematic study. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2011; 24:334-339.
87. Delamarter R, Zigler JE, Balderston RA, Cammisa FP, Goldstein JA, Spivak JM. Prospective, randomized, multicenter Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of the ProDisc-L total disc replacement compared with circumferential arthrodesis for the treatment of two-level lumbar degenerative disc disease: results at twenty-four months. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011; 93:705-15.
88. Coric D, Nunley PD, Guyer RD, Musante D, Carmody CN, Gordon CR, Laurysen C, Ohnmeiss DD, Boltes MO. Prospective, randomized, multicenter study of cervical arthroplasty: 269 patients from the Kineflex|C artificial disc investigational device exemption study with a minimum 2-year follow-up. *J Neurosurg: Spine*, 2011; 15(4):348-358.
89. Kelly MP, Mok JM, Frisch RF, Tay BK. Adjacent segment motion after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion versus ProDisc-C cervical total disk Arthroplasty. *Spine* 2011; 36(15):1171-1179.
90. Ghiselli G, Wharton N, Wong D, Jatana S. Prospective Analysis of Imaging Prediction of Pseudoarthrosis after Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: Computed Tomography vs. Flexion-Extension Motion Analysis with Intraoperative Correlation. *Spine* 2011; 36(6):463-468.
91. Pearson AM, Spratt KF, Genuario J, McGough W, Kosman K, Lurie J, Sengupta DK. Precision of lumbar intervertebral measurements: Does a computer-assisted technique improve reliability? *Spine* 2011; 36(7):572-580.
92. Jackson ER, Lador R, Ben-Galim PJ, Reitman CA, Hipp JA. Reference data for interpreting widening between spinous processes in the lumbar spine. *The Spine Journal* 2011; 11:2336-339.
93. Olabisi RM, Lazard Z, Heggeness MH, Moran KM, Hipp JA, Dewan AK, Davis AR, West JL, Olmsted-Davis EA. An injectable method for noninvasive spine fusion. *The Spine Journal* 2011; 11(6):545-556.
94. Sasso RC, Metcalf NH, Hipp JA, Wharton ND, Anderson PA. Sagittal alignment after Bryan cervical arthroplasty. *Spine* 2011; 36(13):991-6.
95. Lador R, Ben-Galim PJ, Hipp JA. Motion within the unstable cervical spine during patient maneuvering: The neck pivot-shift phenomenon. *The Journal of Trauma* 2011; 70(1):247-250.
96. Ho JM, Ben-Galim P, Weiner BK, Karbach LE, Moron FE, Reitman CA, Heggeness MH, Hipp JA. Towards the Establishment of Optimal CT Parameters for the Assessment of Lumbar Spinal Fusion. *The Spine Journal* 2011; 11(7):636-640.
97. Park DK, Lin EL, Phillips FM. Index and adjacent level kinematics after cervical disc replacement and anterior fusion: In vivo quantitative radiographic analysis, *Spine* 2011; 36:721-730.
98. Du J, Li M, Liu H, Meng H, He Q, Luo Z. Early follow-up outcomes after treatment of degenerative disc disease with the Discover cervical disc prosthesis. *The Spine Journal* 2011; 11(4):281-289.
99. Kowalczyk I, Lazaro BCR, Fink M, Rabin D, Duggal N. Analysis of in vivo kinematics of 3 different cervical devices: Bryan disc, ProDisc-C, and Prestige LP disc. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine* 2011:1-6.
100. Dewan AK, Dewan RA, Calderon N, Fuentes A, Lazard Z, Davis AR, Heggeness M, Hipp JA, Olmsted-Davis EA. Assessment mechanical integrity of spinal fusion by in situ endochondral osteoinduction in the murine model. *J Orthop Surg Res* 2010; 5:58.
101. Lador R, Dreiangel N, Ben-Galim PJ, Hipp JA. A pictorial classification atlas of cement extravasation with vertebral augmentation. *The Spine Journal* 2010; 10(12):1118-1127.
102. Eubanks AC, Hipp JA, Lador R, Ben-Galim PJ, Reitman CA. Reference data for assessing widening between spinous processes in the cervical spine and the responsiveness of these measures to detecting abnormalities. *Spine J.* 2010; 10(3):230-7.
103. Trautwein FT, Lowery GL, Wharton ND, Hipp JA, Chomiak RJ. Determination of the in vivo posterior loading environment of the Coflex interlaminar-interspinous implant. *Spine J.* 2010; 10(3):244-51.

104. Powell JW, Sasso RC, Metcalf NH, Anderson PA, Hipp JA. Quality of Spinal Motion With Cervical Disk Arthroplasty: Computer-aided Radiographic Analysis. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2010; 23(2):89-95.
105. Park JJ, Quirno M, Cunningham MR, Schwarzkopf R, Bendo JA, Spivak JM, Goldstein JA. Analysis of segmental cervical spine vertebral motion after ProDisc-C cervical disc replacement. *Spine* 2010; 35(8):E285-9.
106. Lazaro BC, Yucesoy K, Yuksel KZ, Kowalczyk I, Rabin D, Fink M, Duggal N. Effect of arthroplasty design on cervical spine kinematics: analysis of the Bryan Disc, ProDisc-C, and Synergy Disc. *Neurosurg Focus* 2010; 28(6):E6.
107. Pneumaticos SG, Chatziioannou AN, Hipp JA, Chatziioannou S N. Prediction of Successful Discectomy using MRI Quantitation of Dural Sac and Herniated Disc Dimensions. *Int. J. Clin. Practice* 2010; 64(1):13-18.
108. Radcliff KE, Ben-Galim P, Dreiangel N, Martin SD, Reitman CA, Lin JN, Hipp JA. Comprehensive CT assessment of the upper-cervical anatomy: What is Normal? *The Spine Journal* 2010; 10:219-229.
109. Ben-Galim P, Drieangel N, Mattox KL, Reitman CA, Kalantar BS, Hipp JA. Extrication collars can result in abnormal separation between vertebrae in the presence of a dissociative injury. *The Journal of Trauma* 2010; 69(2):447-50.
110. Kalantar BS, Hipp JA, Reitman CA, Dreiangel N, Ben-Galim P. Diagnosis of unstable cervical spine injuries: Laboratory support for the use of axial traction to diagnose cervical spine instability. *The Journal of Trauma* 2010; 69(4):889-895.
111. Dreiangel N, Ben-Galim P, Ladro R, Hipp JA. Occipitocervical dissociative injuries: common in blunt trauma fatalities and better detected with objective computed tomography-based measurements, *The Spine Journal* 2010; 10:704-707.
112. Pimenta L, Springmuller R, Lee CK, Oliveira L, Roth SE, Ogilvie WF. Clinical performance of an elastomeric lumbar disc replacement: Minimum 12 months follow-up. *SAS Journal* 2010; 4:14-25.
113. Reyes-Sanchez A, Miramontes V, Olivarez LMR, Aquirre AA, Quiroz AO, Zarate-Kalfopulos B. Initial clinical experience with a next-generation artificial disc for the treatment of symptomatic degenerative cervical radiculopathy. *SAS Journal* 2010; 4:9-15.
114. Phillips FM, Allen RT, Regan JJ, Albert TJ, Cappuccino A, Devine JG, Ahrens JE, Hipp JA, McAfee PC. Cervical Disc Replacement in Patients with and without Previous Adjacent Level Fusion Surgery: A Prospective Study. *Spine* 2009; 34(6):556-65.
115. Murrey D, Janssen M, Delemarter R, Goldstein J, Zigler J, Tay B, Darden B. Results of the prospective, randomized, controlled multicenter Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of the ProDisc-C total disc replacement versus anterior discectomy and fusion for the treatment of 1-level symptomatic cervical disc disease. *Spine J.* 2009; 9(4):275-86.
116. Guyer RD, McAfee PC, Banco RJ, Bitan FD, Cappuccino A, Geiseler FH, Hochschuler SH, Holt RT, Jemis LG, Majd ME, Regan JJ, Tromanhauser SG, Wong DC, Blumenthal SL. Prospective, randomized, multicenter Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of lumbar total disc replacement with the CHARITE´ artificial disc versus lumbar fusion: Five-year follow-up. *Spine J.* 2009; 9(5):374-86.
117. Anakwenze OA, Auerbach JD, Milby AH, Lonner BS, Balderston RA. Sagittal cervical alignment after cervical disc arthroplasty and anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: results of a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *Spine* 2009; 34(19):2001-7.
118. Ekman P, Moller H, Shalabi, A, et al. A prospective randomised study on the long-term effect of lumbar fusion on adjacent disc degeneration. *Eur Spine J.* 2009; 18:1175-86.
119. Park SA, Ordway NR, Fayyazi AH, Fredrickson BE, Yuan HA. Comparison of Cobb Technique, Quantitative Motion Analysis, and Radiostereometric Analysis in Measurement of Segmental Range of Motions After Lumbar Total Disc Arthroplasty. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2009; 22:602-609.
120. Rabin D, Bertagnoli R, Wharton N, Pickett GE, Duggal N. Sagittal balance influences range of motion: an in vivo study with the ProDisc-C. *Spine J.* 2009; 9(2):128-33.
121. Auerbach JD, Jones KJ, Milby AH, Anakwenze OA, Balderston RA. Segmental contribution toward total lumbar range of motion in disc replacement and fusions: a comparison of operative and adjacent levels. *Spine* 2009;

34(23):2510-7.

122. Hipp J and Wharton N. Quantitative Motion Analysis (QMA) of Motion Preserving and Fusion Technologies for the Spine, in Motion Preservation Surgery of the Spine: Advanced Techniques and Controversies. eds Yue J, Bertagnoli R, McAfee P, and An H. Elsevier, New York, 2008.
123. Auerbach J, Namdari S, Milby A, et al. The parallax effect in the Evaluation of Range of Motion in Lumbar Total Disc Replacement. *The SAS Journal* 2008.
124. Acosta FL, Christensen FB, Coe JD, Jahng T, Kitchel SH, Meisel HJ, Schnoring M, Wingo CH, Ames CP. Early Clinical & Radiographic Results of NFix II Posterior Dynamic Stabilization System. *SAS Journal* 2008; 2(2):69-75.
125. Yaszay B, Bendo J, Goldstein JA, Quirno M, Spivak JM, Errico TJI. Effect of Intervertebral Disk Height on Post-operative Motion Following Prodisc™ Lumbar Disc Replacement. *Spine* 2008; 33:508-514.
126. Peng CW, Quirno M, Goldstein J, et al. Effect of Intervertebral Disk Height on Post-operative Motion Following Prodisc-C Cervical Disc Replacement. *Spine* 2008.
127. Sasso RC, Best NM. Cervical kinematics after fusion and Bryan disc arthroplasty. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2008; 21:1-19.
128. Foley KT, Mroz TE, Arnold PM, et al. Randomized, Prospective, and Controlled Clinical Trial of Pulsed Electromagnetic Field Stimulation for Cervical Fusion. *The Spine Journal* 2008; 436-442.
129. Fassett D, Apfelbaum R, Hipp J. Comparison of Fusion Assessment Technique: Computer-Assisted Versus Manual Measurement. *J Neurosurgery: Spine* 2008; 8:544-547.
130. Hwang H, Hipp JA, Ben-Galim P, Reitman CA. Threshold Cervical Range-of-Motion Necessary to Detect Abnormal Intervertebral Motion in Cervical Spine Radiographs. *Spine* 2008; 33:E261-E267.
131. Auerbach JD, Tally WC, Balderston RA. Radiographic evaluation in lumbar total disc replacement: assessment of potential candidacy and range of motion. *Seminars in Spine Surgery* 2007; 19:87-97.
132. Sears R, Sekhon L, Duggal N, Williamson O. Segmental Malalignment With the Bryan Cervical Disc Prosthesis – Does it Occur? *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2007; 20:1-6.
133. Rabin D, Pickett GE, Bisnaire L, Duggal N. The kinematics of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion versus artificial cervical disc: a pilot study. *Neurosurgery* 2007; 61(3 Suppl):100-5.
134. Sears R, Duggal N, Sekhon L, Williamson O. Segmental Malalignment with the Bryan Cervical Disc Prosthesis – Contributing Factors. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2007; 20(2).
135. Goldberg G, Albert T, Vaccaro A, Hilibrand A, Anderson G, Wharton N. Short-Term Comparison of Cervical Fusion with Static and Dynamic Plating using Computerized Quantitative Motion Analysis. *Spine* 2007; 32: E371-E375.
136. Sasso RC, Smucker JD, Hacker RJ, Heller JG. Clinical outcomes of BRYAN cervical disc arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized, controlled, multicenter trial with 24-month follow-up. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2007; 20:481-491.
137. Taylor M, Hipp JA, Gertzbein SD, Gopinath S, Reitman CA. Observer agreement in assessing flexion-extension X-rays of the cervical spine, with and without the use of quantitative measurements of intervertebral motion. *Spine J* 2007; 7:654-658.
138. Subramanian N, Reitman CA, Nguyen L, Hipp JA. Radiographic assessment and quantitative motion analysis of the cervical spine after serial sectioning of the anterior ligamentous structures. *Spine* 2007; 32:518-526.
139. Schneider AM, Hipp JA, Nguyen L, Reitman CA. Reduction in head and intervertebral motion provided by seven contemporary cervical orthoses in 45 individuals. *Spine* 2007; 32:E1-E6.
140. Mourning D, Reitman CA, Heggeness M, Esses S, Hipp JA. Initial intervertebral stability after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with plating. *Spine* 2007; 7:643-646.
141. Sears WR, McCombe PF, Sasso RC. Kinematics of cervical and lumbar total disc replacement. *Seminars in Spine Surgery* 2006; 18(2):117-129.



142. Sekhon LH, Sears W, Duggal N. Cervical arthroplasty after previous surgery: results of treating 24 discs in 15 patients. *Neurosurg Spine* 2005; 3(5):335-41.
143. Pickett G, Rouleau J, Duggal N. Kinematic analysis of the cervical spine following implantation of an artificial cervical disc. *Spine* 2005; 30:1949-1954.
144. Hipp JA, Reitman CA, Wharton N. Defining pseudoarthrosis in the cervical spine with differing motion thresholds. *Spine* 2005; 30:209-210.
145. Zhao KD, Yang C, Zhao C, Stans AA, An K-N. Assessment of noninvasive intervertebral motion measurements in the lumbar spine. *J Biomechanics* 2005; 38:1943-1946.
146. Brown T, Reitman CA, Nguyen L, Hipp JA. Intervertebral Motion after Incremental Damage to the Posterior Structures of the Cervical Spine. *Spine* 2005; 30:E503-E508.
147. Duggal N, Pickett G, Mitsis D, Keller J. Early clinical and biomechanical results following cervical arthroplasty. *Neurosurgical Focus* 2004; 17:62-68.
148. Pickett G, Mitsis D, Sekhon L, Sears W, Duggal N. Effects of a cervical disc prosthesis on segmental and cervical spine alignment. *Neurosurgical Focus* 2004; 17:30-35.
149. Reitman CA, Hipp JA, Nguyen L, Esses SI. Changes in segmental intervertebral motion adjacent to cervical arthrodesis. A prospective study. *Spine* 2004; 29:E221-E226.
150. Reitman CA, Mauro KM, Nguyen L, Ziegler JM, Hipp JA. Intervertebral motion between flexion and extension in asymptomatic individuals. *Spine* 2004; 29:2832-43.

***A bibliography of more than 400 scientific abstracts, posters and proceedings from national and international meetings is available upon request. Please contact [info@medicalmetrics.com](mailto:info@medicalmetrics.com).***