

## Accuracy and Reproducibility of QMA®

QMA<sup>®</sup> has been independently validated in numerous scientific studies. These studies have consistently shown an average error of 0.5 deg with an upper limit of ≤ 1.4 deg.

Zhao KD, Yang C, Zhao C, Stans AA, An K-N. **Assessment of noninvasive intervertebral motion measurements in the lumbar spine.** *Journal of Biomechanics* 2005; 38:1943-1946.

 Lumbar validation study: Reported an average accuracy of 0.47 deg ± 0.24 deg and a 95% confidence interval 0 to 1.4 deg.

Reitman CA, Hipp JA, Nguyen L, Esses SI. Changes in segmental intervertebral motion adjacent to cervical arthrodesis. A prospective study. *Spine* 2004; 29: E211-E26

• **Cervical validation study:** Reported a mean absolute accuracy of < 0.5 deg and < 0.3 mm with maximum errors of 1.4 deg and 0.8 mm.

Pearson AM, Spratt KF, Genuario J, McGough W, Kosman K, Lurie J, Sengupta DK. Precision of lumbar intervertebral measurements: Does a computer-assisted technique improve reliability? *Spine* 2011; 36(7):572-580

Lumbar reproducibility study: Reported a 95% confidence interval of ± 1 deg and ± 0.6 mm with an ICC > 0.85 for agreement amongst observers.

Sears WR, Duggal N, Sekhon LH, Williamson OD. **Segmental malalignment with the Bryan cervical disc prosthesis-contributing factors.** *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2007; 20:111-7

 Cervical reproducibility study: Reported an ICC of 0.82 for measurements of intervertebral motion using QMA compared to 0.68 for manual measurements.

Ghiselli G, Wharton N, Hipp J, Wong D, Jatana S. Prospective Analysis of Imaging Prediction of Pseudarthrosis after Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: Computed Tomography vs. Flexion-Extension Motion Analysis with Intraoperative Correlation. *Spine* 2011; 36(6):463-468

 Cervical reproducibility study: Reported an average difference amongst observers of 0.18 deg with a max difference of 1.2 deg and a correlation coefficient always above 0.96

Auerbach J, Namdari S, Milby A, White A, Reddy S, Lonner B, Balderston R. **The parallax effect in the evaluation of range of motion in lumbar total disc replacement.** *SAS Journal 2008*; 2:184-8.

 Parallax sensitivity study: Reported that range of motion measurements made with QMA were not significantly affected by X-ray beam parallax effects (P=0.22)

Taylor M, Hipp JA, Gertzbein SD, Reitman CA, Gopinath S. **Observer agreement in** assessing flexion-extension X-rays of the cervical spine, with and without the use of quantitative measurements of intervertebral motion. *Spine J* 2007; 7: 654-8

 Reproducibility of visual assessments aided with QMA: Reported significantly improved observer agreement amongst physicians for various clinical diagnoses; kappa increased from 0.17 before application of QMA to 0.77 after use of QMA